

2/1/2017 Monitoring Meeting: Jackson

Participants

Agenda

- Discuss collaboration survey – survey monkey, identify next steps
- Present the monitoring database
 - Discuss overall format (see the attached excel spreadsheet, I will be working to turn these header's into an access database that we will discuss at the meeting. This is a good thing to think about if you have time before the meeting)
 - Discuss what has been done, what is missing
 - Should include Lodi monitoring – things outside collaborative if nothing is in collaborative
 - Include general data sources CNDDDB
 - Follow-up for red fir monitoring
 - Hemlock monitoring
 - Next steps
- Update on social economics
 - Chico State
 - Tara UC Davis
 - Headwaters Economics Profiles
- Identify any big gaps of what is missing (March focus to really identify what should be done for the field season)
- Ways to increase monitoring group participation: actual monitoring/workshop to learn – increase participation by having monitoring activities occur
- As time allows, talk about organization of monitoring group – if separate group for new MOA, to be defined: roles and responsibilities if we are a separate group
- Identify the agenda for 3/8

Collaboration survey

- Suggested to do on-line
- If not getting enough feedback do survey in planning meeting
- Let full group have opportunity to fill out survey
- Take a volunteer to take in hard copies
- Foothill conservancy tried this with project evaluation forms
- Send out response for hemlock – next full group meeting, indicate how many folks filled it out
- *Do now as two links – decide if we will pay for it later
- Two edits: 3a add in some; comments should be or not “on”

Present the monitoring database

- Is ACCG funding – rather than ACCG driving
- Limited time and resources – prioritize cornerstone monitoring strategy questions
- Populating database and determining parameters – what is the extent of what is in database and what parameters in the list
- Remove Storm Drain Detectives line

- Does meet mission of ACCG, and does it answer monitoring questions? – Does provide information on triple bottom line
- *Include indicators in database question sheet – include as a look up table
- *Casey: pull together hemlock monitoring plan
 - Figure out why pre data collected might not be used for monitoring
 - Need white paper how to think bit more about pre-project for monitoring to allow for post
- *Becky – Shana reach out specialists reach out to see what is happening foster firs needs to be monitored
- Which tier 1 questions answered by Foster Firs – not being answered anywhere else
- *Use trends from large watershed project monitoring to help provide information – e.g. project 137 – end of project for landscape scale data: committee or group tackle, show trends
- *Reuben: has information of project 137
- *Casey follow-up on pacific valley, sheep, and bloomfield meadows
- *Shana follow-up with chuck
- *Follow-up with CalFire through large ACCG project: fire safe council John H., Jan Bray
- SPI – Kevin publishing owl work: Jay to send Kevin e-mail find out when ready for release
- *Get FIA general location information – pull data pre and post treatment to look at broad changes
- Evaluate using edart for cornerstone area – mortality: add as a potential monitoring item: Shana and Becky work together come up with this output
- Add in project field and have this as a lookup with multiple values
- Watershed levels in location: make this value able to check multiple values
 - Main watershed: investigate maybe HUC5 and HUC7
- Project name, location, and responsible party: send to planning group, plus some agency reps (Monti, Bill, umra, east bay mud): ecologically oriented so far – first crack at what is happening
 - Hemlock stuff incorporated before sending out

Implementation monitoring:

- How do we track informal implementation monitoring?
- Anytime we do a post treatment field trip, someone volunteers to fill out the form: use the template from table 14 in monitoring strategy

Update on social economics

- Chico State: was not very accurate, only went to 1990:2011, only based on census, conclusions were wrong; wanted our input on what area to focus on
 - ACCG needs to see a proposal of what Chico is going to do
 - The west point analysis is not beneficial for area
 - Need Steve W, Katherine, John H were involved initially
- Tara UC Davis
 - Get proposal
- Headwaters Economics Profiles
- Need to get proposals before providing anyone money
- WO going to have a webinar late February
- Reach out to Steve W, Katherine, and John H work with Robin and Casey

- Get Robin to compare how the headwaters economic proposal and Tara would be different than Chico, request proposal: meeting dedicated to social economic with right people present, maybe this could be kicked to operations group: Include a deadline for a decision

Ways to increase monitoring group participation:

- actual monitoring/workshop to learn – increase participation by having monitoring activities occur
- Groups (Julia-CSERC, Foothill Conservancy) might be interested in having volunteers could easily do and what kind of training
 - Forestry challenge kids, homeschool kids

Follow-ups

Shana

- Collaboration survey: summarize hemlock briefly, make edits: 3a add in some; comments should be or not “on”, send as two links – decide if we will pay for it later **-done**
- Database: Remove Storm Drain Detectives line **-done**
- *Include indicators in database question sheet – include as a look up table **-done**
- Shana follow-up with chuck monitoring Indian Valley –**sent email, need to incorporate infor**
- Follow-up with CalFire identify monitoring large ACCG project: fire safe council John H., Jan Bray
- Evaluate using edart for cornerstone area
- Database: Add in project field and have this as a lookup with multiple values **-done**
- Database: Watershed levels in location: make this value able to check multiple values **done, used 10 and 12**
 - Main watershed: investigate maybe HUC5 and HUC7
- Send out existing monitoring projects with name, location, responsible party to planning group requesting gaps of any known projects

Casey

- pull together hemlock monitoring plan
- Ask questions about why staff pre data collected might not be used for monitoring
- Casey follow-up on pacific valley, sheep, and bloomfield meadows

Reuben

- provide information of project 137 to fit into database

Becky

- Get FIA general location information – pull data pre and post treatment to look at broad changes – Andy Gray, Kamma Kennedy
- Fill in some of question information existing database
- Evaluate using edart for cornerstone area

- Follow-up with Robin to compare how the headwaters economic proposal and Tara would be different than Chico, request proposal: meeting dedicated to social economic with right people present, maybe this could be kicked to operations group: Include a deadline for a decision

Identify the agenda for 3/8

- Identify monitoring needs
 - What questions are we not answering?
 - Ecological effectiveness with Tier and who/where are they being monitored
 - What's questions could we answer, where (projects), and who (identifying opportunities to increase participation)
- Feedback on project specific monitoring plans
- How do we move forward as project come down and are being ready to be implemented?
 - Pilot project panther
- As time allows, talk about organization of monitoring group – if separate group for new MOA, to be defined: roles and responsibilities if we are a separate group
- Updates on ongoing monitoring (e.g. Red Fir, Indian Valley) – full ACCG present some of the work: full meeting dedicated to monitoring, or single topic at full group: make interesting topic presented at monitoring meeting to get more participation