


Amador-Calaveras Consensus Group Collaborative Monitoring Survey

5 responses

1. Indicate participation in the ACCG collaborative process.

5 responses




Comments:

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

2. What groups do you participate in? Please check all that apply.

5 responses




Comments:

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

3a. What type of meeting did you attend where project planning for above mentioned project was discussed?

5 responses




Comments:

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

3b. If yes, what part of the planning process?

5 responses




Comments:

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.


4. Did you feel like you had enough time and background information to contribute to project development?

5 responses



5. Are you satisfied with the amount of collaborative input into project planning?

5 responses



6a. Were your concerns about the project expressed to project proponents? If No Concerns skip to Question 7.

5 responses



● Yes

● No

● Not sure


Comments:

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

6b. Were your concerns resolved before the project proponent published its proposed action or were concerns incorporated into proposed action?

5 responses



● Yes

● No


Comments:

1 response

Some

6c. If your concerns were not addressed in the proposed action, did the project proponent include them in an action alternative?

4 responses



Comments:

3 responses

Some

N/A

I don't believe they were, but am not sure.

6d. If your concerns were addressed in an alternative, did the project proponent select that alternative in the final project decision?

3 responses




Comments:

1 response

N/A

6e. To what degree did you support the selected alternative?

5 responses




Comments:

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

7a. After the decision, did you participate in the objection process? If No continue to Question 9.

5 responses




Comments:

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

7b. If yes, did you support the final ACCG pre-decision comment letter?

2 responses




Comments:

1 response

Don't recall

8a. Did you submit a separate comment letter? If No continue to Question 9.

5 responses



Comments:

1 response

Didn't answer

8b. If yes, did your letter support or oppose the proposed action?

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

Comments:


2 responses

N/A

don't recall

9. Do you believe the project proponent's final decision adequately addressed ACCG members' and participants' concerns?

4 responses




Comments:

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.

10. On this project select the area that you think could have been improved.

5 responses



11. Overall Comments to Survey

4 responses

No comments

Amador Ranger District did an excellent job of being transparent and accommodating throughout the process. Kept focus on fuels reduction and improving forest health - very important.

Need participation cradle to grave on a project from all commenting/objections to make some consensus and address at the best time in project chronology.

The FS should work harder to develop projects that are within the ACCG zone of agreement instead of bringing the ACCG projects that will be fraught with controversy and lead to internal conflict. The FS does not take ACCG members' concerns about herbicides seriously, and in this case, really missed the boat on spotted owl protection, too.

Google Forms