**Date:** September 25, 2019

**Time:** 9:00-Noon (in office meeting)

12:30- 3:30 (field trip)

**Location:** Calaveras Ranger District

5519 CA-4, Hathaway Pines, CA 95247

**Tania Carlone (Facilitator) Text or Call:** (510) 684-0504

**Meeting Call-in Option:** Please make every attempt to come to the meeting in-person. However, if that is not possible, we invite you to use the call-in option.

Call: +1 669 900 6833

Meeting ID: 847 693 512

| **Time** | **Item** | **Attachments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 9:00 | **Introductions, Agenda Review, Meeting Summary Approval & October Meeting Date Adjustment Request**  ***Objectives:***   * *Make any necessary adjustments to the agenda and revisions to the 8/28/19 Planning Work Group (WG) meeting summary.* * *Tania has a conflict on October 23 and would like to request moving the Planning WG meeting to Wednesday October 30.* | 1. Agenda 2. Meeting Summary   (8-28-19) |
| 9:10 | **Scottiago Field Trip Summary Approval**  ***Objectives:***   * *Ad hoc group report back and finalize Scottiago field trip summary.* * *Determine how the Planning Work Group would like to present to the full ACCG.* | 1. Scottiago Field Trip Summary (version: 9/18/19) |
| 9:20 | **Planning Work Group Charge and Functions**  ***Objectives:*** *Review and discuss any comments on Planning WG charge, as described in ACCG MOA, and make suggested revisions for full ACCG consideration.* | 1. Planning WG Functions Excerpt |
| 9:30 | **Project Development Evaluation Tool**  ***Objectives:***   * *Consistent with the Collaborative Engagement Strategy, the Planning WG will continue its discussion on the “yellow and red light” categories of project activities and associated protocols.*   *Note: The Planning WG’s discussion of the Project Support Evaluation Tool provides an opportunity to consider the “side boards” for MOTOR M2K. When discussing the Project Evaluation Tool, consider:*   * *Under what conditions could yellow and red light project actions be acceptable to the ACCG?* * *How can the ACCG specifically define under what conditions these actions could achieve consensus?* * *For which actions are there no scenarios that would result in agreement?* | 1. DRAFT Project Support Evaluation Tool (version: 9/13/19) |
| 10:30 | **Strategic Landscape Assessment Work Group (SLAWG)**  ***Objectives:***   * *Discuss and make a recommendation regarding seeking Sierra Nevada Conservancy funding for project mapping tool development.* |  |
| 11:00 | **Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Habitat Restoration & Defense Project**  ***Objectives:***   * *Receive an overview of the project.* * *Seek a consensus recommendation on a letter of support for the Wildlife Conservation Board (WCB) grant proposal.* | 1. Project Support Submission Form 2. WCB pre-application Upper Mokelumne River 3. Power Fire PCT ACCG Letter of Support 4. Power Fire ROD Response |
| 11:40 | **Moving Towards Resiliency in the Mokelumne to Kings (MOTOR M2K)**  ***Objectives:***   * *Discuss YSS proposed alternative. (What are the Planning WG’s thoughts on what YSS is proposing? What other potential alternatives may the ACCG wish to propose?)* | 1. Document will be sent when available |
| 12:00 | **Lunch and Hemlock Field Trip Introduction & Logistics (in office)**  Note: *Remember to bring your lunch and water bottle, sunblock, and anything else you’ll need in the field.* |  |
| 12:30 to 3:30 | **Hemlock Project Forest Restoration Before & After Field Trip**  ***Goal:*** *This field trip will provide an opportunity to share with the ACCG how the planned project was implemented, lessons learned from the field, and possible implications for future collaborative projects.* |  |