# Meeting Brief

* Rick Hopson of the Amador Ranger District gave a presentation on the Power Fire Pre-Commercial Thinning Project proposed action and map, and requested input from the ACCG.
* Tania Carlone gave an update on the Collaborative Engagement Strategy focusing on its strategic elements, recommended actions, and implementation schedule. The ACCG finalized the Collaborative Engagement Strategy and at the June ACCG general meeting will prioritize the recommended actions that are most important to implement in the immediate term.
* The Ad Hoc Road Restoration Committee reported that they met by phone and in person with the Sierra Nevada Conservancy (SNC) to present on the importance of road restoration activities in forest landscapes and to urge the SNC to reconsider their policy which excludes such activities from receiving grant funding.
* The Planning Work Group will report out the Arnold Avery Strengths, Opportunities, Weaknesses and Threats (SWOT) analysis at the full ACCG June meeting.
* The Strategic Landscape Assessment Work Group (SLAWG) will meet by phone June 4, from 1pm to 3pm to further discuss how to collect, display, and distribute a landscape mapping tool.

# Action Items

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Actions** | **Responsible Parties** |
| ACCG members provide written comments to the Stanislaus National Forest regarding the Potential wildland fire Operational Delineations (PODS) and prioritization process using the [comment form](http://acconsensus.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/ACCG-General-Meting-4-17-2019-STF_5Yr_Veg_Process.pdf) by May 17, 2019 and email to Beck Johnson and Carol Ewell. | All |
| Planning WG members further discuss mechanical treatment options within the Planning WG, including the possibility of winch assist. | Planning WG |
| Individual members submit comment letters regarding the PGE Integrated Vegetation Management Program Environmental Assessment by May 17, 2019, as desired. | All |
| Gwen Starrett, the Amador Ranger District, and Calaveras Ranger District requested that the Three Meadows, Large Landscape Analysis, and Power Fire PCT projects be placed on the next Planning WG meeting agenda. Tania will follow-up with Gwen.  | Tania CarloneGwen StarrettJoe AragonRick Hopson |
| Michael Pickard will send Regine Miller the calendar invite for the June 4 Strategic Landscape Assessment Work Group (SLAWG) conference call. Regine to distribute to the full ACCG.  | Michael PickardRegine Miller |
| Rich Farrington to send Michael Pickard the list of NEPA ready projects that Rich and Rick Hopson developed.  | Rich Farrington |
| Ray Cablayan to send Regine Miller information about leftover, free biochar for distribution to ACCG members.  | Ray CablayanRegine Miller |
| Ben Slovesky to send Regine Miller flyer about the Caples Project raking days for distribution to ACCG members.  | Ben SolveskyRegine Miller |

## Modification and/or approval of agenda and April 2019 Meeting Summary.

## The agenda was modified to reflect that Tania Carlone would provide Admin WG update and Gwen Starrett would provide the Monitoring WG update. Additionally, the Ad Hoc Roads Committee would report out to the group on their meeting with Sierra Nevada Conservancy (SNC) under the Presentations and Discussion section of the meeting.

## There were no changes to the April Meeting summary. The summary was adopted as final and is to be posted on website. Tania checked in on the April meeting summary action items and reminded the group to provide comments to the Stanislaus National Forest regarding the Potential wildland fire Operational Delineations (PODS) and prioritization process using the [comment form](http://acconsensus.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/ACCG-General-Meting-4-17-2019-STF_5Yr_Veg_Process.pdf) by May 17, 2019.

##

## Presentations, Discussions and Business

# **2019 Power Fire Pre-Commercial Thinning Project:**

Rick Hopson gave an update on the Power Fire Pre-Commercial Thinning (PCT) project. The original intent was to ask the ACCG for consensus but there is more work to be done to address some Planning Work Group members’ comments.

Rick reviewed the [Proposed Action](http://acconsensus.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/06-2019-Power-Fire-PCT_PA_ACCG_5_8.docx)**.** The purpose of the project is to thin pre-commercial sized trees (<10 inches dbh) across 11,354 acres on the Amador Ranger District over five to ten years to meet objectives for young plantations as specified in the Eldorado National Forest Plan, as amended by the Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment. Areas planned for treatment are shown on the [map](http://acconsensus.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/07-4-2019-Power-PCT-Scoping-Map.pdf). Without treatment, current stand conditions would result in a delay in meeting these objectives. The Planning WG discussed the project during the March and April Planning WG meetings to determine how the Forest would address noxious weeds, foothill yellow legged frog, and tree clumps, and to review and incorporate the Power Fire Ecological Framework into the silvicultural design criteria. There are remaining issues that need to be addressed including the introduction of prescribed fire and how to increase horizontal and vertical heterogeneity. The District anticipates initiating project implementation in July 2019 on 700 acres. The project is currently in pre-scoping. Rick stated that the Forest is already behind schedule on the Power Fire PCT and that he does want to lose an entire field season trying to work out a solution. The intent of the Amador Ranger District is to return to the ACCG for consensus support in June.

**Discussion**

* Steve Brink commented that it was unclear how many acres will be masticated versus hand thinned. Rick responded that hand treatments will take place on 5,700 acres and mastication on 5,600 acres. Steve went on to ask if the Forest plans to hand thin by cutting small trees and jackpot piling. Rick responded that the Forest is analyzing for the ability to implement treatments in the project area and that cut material will either be lopped and scattered, piled and burned, or mechanically thinned and masticated. The goal is to dispose of the material to enable prescribed burn as soon as possible, likely within 10 to 15 years. Rick went on to state that where archaeological survey coverage and slope limitations allow, the Forest will use mechanical treatment.
* Steve asked if the Forest considered mechanically thinning with winch assist as to overcome slope limitations while at the same time enabling the removal of the material from the forest which would allow a prescription burn as soon as possible. Rick responded that the Forest had not considered this treatment option and that he understood it to be more expensive than the other treatment options owed to the fact that this project is not a commercial thin so there is no revenue to offset the cost of the winch assist. Rick asked for Steve to provide written comment regarding this treatment option.
* Rich Farrington suggested that the potential to reduce wildfire seems to be addressed under this Proposed Action, and that lop and scatter could increase wildfire potential. From UMRWA’s standpoint, the Power Fire PCT project is important and needs to be implemented to reduce wildfire hazard and protect drinking water source.
* John Heissenbuttel added that there are a variety of lop and scatter prescriptions available, and that the Forest will realize implementation of prescribed burning if it is able to grow trees more quickly but it is challenging to contend with steep slopes from a contractual standpoint. Rick clarified that hand piling treatments will focus on areas near roads and ridges, and that these areas are not natural high ignition area but are more likely subject to human ignition.
* Ben Solvesky, Sierra Forest Legacy (SFL), stated the question is how and when to increase heterogeneity in mixed conifer plantations in accordance with [GTR-220](https://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw_gtr220/psw_gtr220.pdf) and [GTR-237](https://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw_gtr237/psw_gtr237.pdf). He asked can a project include gaps and at what scale, how hot is the fire going to be, can one apply fire on the landscape in strategic locations sooner than 10 years from now? SFL would like to see under burning fire included as a treatment option in the Power Fire PCT Proposed Action to increase canopy base height and reduce the probability of a crown fire.
* Tony Valdes asked where are the areas where fire can strategically be applied now and can there be language include in the Proposed Action that discusses the need for a future strategy to get to GTR-220 and 237? Rick stated concern that by creating gaps now, they would become brush filled. He desires to create gaps later in the implementation process and asked if fire was the right tool to treat the landscape now.
* Steve Wilensky added that there are a number of issues that keep coming up within the ACCG that have not been addressed such as climate impacts on treatment types. He asked why not implement both treatment options, members can each make some compromises and then work together to determine what works best. Steve stated that members arguing hard positions does not make sense if we are aiming to reach consensus. Instead, he offered that a possible approach for the collaborative is for the group to try to make creative choices and address changing conditions of our forest.
* Tony offered that the group consider treating areas at the stand level using multiple mechanical treatment type that can help to move the Forest toward the GTR elements.
* Monte Kawahara asked if biomass being considered in the Proposed Action. Rick stated that until Steve Brink shared his idea for a winch assist, the Forest had not considered getting the biomass out of the woods. Monte added that on the South Fork Mokelumne Water project the BLM is not having to subsidize the biomass haul costs for the first time ever.

Tania shared that a field trip is planned for the Scottiago project on June 26 field trip June 26 and that there is also expected to be a Power Fir PCT project field trip later in the summer. Ben and Rick agreed to follow up with a call on the Scottiago.

[**Final Collaborative Engagement Strategy and Next Steps Brief**](http://acconsensus.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/ACCG-Collaborative-Engagement-Strategy-Next-Steps-Brief_4-2019.docx)**:**

Tania presented the Collaborative Engagement Strategy (ES) and schedule for implementation of the recommended actions and sought ACCG feedback. The four main principles of the strategic elements (SE) include:

* **SE#1: Refine governance & organizational structure to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of the group.**

Tania will work with ACCG to refine the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) and clearly define (or redefine) the work group structure including understanding their charges and communication, interactions and coordination within and among the workgroups.

**Discussion**

Steve Wilensky stated that the CFLR has a complete focus on Forest Service funding and that it is prudent to have a committee/work group who can write grants and develop work for private and state lands. He explained that what has happened in absence of Finance Work Group is that organizations such as the BLM, CHIPS, CalAm team, and UMRWA have each sought grants without a coordinated strategy. Stakeholders underlined the importance of instituting a structure that supports strategic coordination for funding/financing activities in the ACCG landscape. The Strategic Landscape Assessment Work Group (SLAWG) is expected to help address this concern.

* **SE#2. Establish collaboration best practices to create the conditions to build trust, improve communications, and reach consensus.**

Tania suggested that the ACCG needs to be clear about its processes as defined by the MOA and consistently implement them so that members do not get hung up on whether or not they are following the processes. In addition to clarifying its policies and procedures in the MOA, Tania discussed three training modules the ACCG will participate in to improve soft skills as part of implementing SE #2: mutual gains negotiation, strategic collaboration, and effective communication. In addition to the training modules the ACCG, beginning with the Planning Work Group, will develop a project evaluation tool to help identify areas of agreement and areas of fundamental disagreement, and how to address these.

**Discussion**

Steve Wilensky stated his interest in identifying areas of agreement and disagreement to increase productivity and the pace and scale of ACCG member’s work. He stated that this could serve to expedite projects that are not controversial.

* **SE#3: Develop and implement a process that results in the strategic pipeline of projects to realize an all-lands, landscape-scale vision and achieve the ACCG’s triple bottom line mission. Develop pipeline of projects.**

Tania explained that the Planning WG has begun to create a project development and approval process to bring this to the full ACCG at the June General Meeting. Additionally, the ACCG has already formed the SLAWG which is directly linked to the Planning WG. The SLAWG is working on a proposal to develop and maintain a landscape mapping tool to track projects within the ACCG focus area.

* **SE #4: Conduct education and outreach at multiple levels, including statewide and among land management leaders, residents, and businesses within the ACCG landscape.**

Tania stated that outreach is already underway, but the ACCG needs to define its messages and how to engage its target audiences in the context of an outreach, communications and engagement plan. Tania expects to develop plan in coordination with the Admin WG.Tania shared that the Admin WG identified orientation of new members and succession planning as important to ensure continuity, and to create a forum where everyone can be a part of holding the ACCG’s history while at the same time allowing the group to evolve. Tania will work with the Admin WG to establish expectations for what is required of the member organizations to succession plan and to identify what ACCG can do as an organization to support succession planning.

**Discussion**

* John Heissenbuttel suggested that the schedule to implement the ES seems ambitious and that it would be helpful to have additional time to implement the ES. Sue Holper stated that it would indeed be helpful to have CBI’s services beyond September. Rick Hopson explained that the current contract agreement is between the USFS with US Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution (Institute) to which CBI is a subcontractor. The FS is currently working with the Institute to figure out how to continue facilitation services.
* Tony Valdes stated that the ACCG might consider prioritizing the SEs and recommended actions given Tania is currently only available to the ACCG until September.
* Rick Hopson stated that the ES schedule is optimistic and asked if there are parts that can be streamlined or shortened? Tania suggested that the ACCG would need to prioritize implementation of the SEs and recommended actions at a future meeting. She asked the group if there was anything substantive that needs to be changed before finalizing the ES, realizing this is a living document, and if anyone in the group had objections to considering this a final draft of the ES. No objections were raised.

# **Ad hoc Roads Committee Update:**

Steve Wilensky shared that the ACCG Ad hoc Roads Committee delegation met with SNC staff and its Executive Officer, Angela Avery. Participants included Jan Bray, Pat McGreevy, Steve Wilensky, and Michael Pickard (by phone). Steve stated that a conference call took place in advance of the in-person meeting which included the aforementioned delegation as well as John Heissenbuttel and Rich Farrington. Rich presented an effective PowerPoint Presentation for the in-person meeting that showed road damage on SNC- funded past projects. The meeting lasted over 2.5 hours and focused on allowing for the repair and maintenance of roads to access projects for public safety and to avert sedimentation to critical watersheds. The delegation asked SNC not to exclude road repair from the projects they fund. Steve stated that the SNC demonstrated openness to the idea but did not want to focus exclusively on road repair/maintenance. SNC seemed to agree in principle that excluding roads and culverts did not make sense but they would expect to limit the extent of funding committed to road restoration efforts. Angela Avery requested the Ad hoc committee bring the issue to the Sierra Nevada Strategic Investment Plan to propose the idea and seek support. Steve stated that SNC is expected to ask ACCG to make a request to include road repair in their grants at a future SNC Board meeting, likely to be September or December. David Griffith stated that SNC should consider bringing this issue to the Forest Management Task Force. An upcoming meeting of the SNC Board meeting will be held in Sacramento and will include a field tour in the ACCG area.

# **UPDATES**

## Admin Work Group Update (Tania Carlone).

The Admin WG is beginning to review the MOA and recommend updates as previously discussed. Rick Hopson stated that the National CFLR Coordinator, Lindsey Buchanan, cannot attend an ACCG meeting in person but she can do so remotely. Rick is working to confirm her presentation for the July 17 meeting. The Admin WG developed an initial set of questions to ask Lindsey to address related to CFLR best practices, funding, etc. Tania stated that the questions will be brought to the full membership for additional input at the June general meeting. Joe Aragon has been working to identify someone to provide a Designation by Prescription (DxP) presentation to full ACCG. Michael Jow explained that DxP identifies the end state, as opposed to marking individual trees for removal. Michael stated that there is one project on the Stanislaus NF currently using DxP and suggested a field trip to observe and discuss the application of DxP in the field. A field trip is tentatively planned for July or August. Ben Solvesky noted that there is controversy within the USFS itself on whether or not to apply DxP, and where applied, when and where to use it.

## Planning Work Group Update

* **Calaveras Ranger District (Ray Cablayan).**

The Arnold Avery project’s Strengths, Opportunities, Weaknesses and Threats (SWOT) analysis will occur at the May Planning WG meeting at Calaveras Ranger District. The Planning WG will report out to the ACCG at the June meeting. The WG agreed that the discussion should not re-hash areas of disagreement but instead focus on following questions:

* What was the expected outcome of collaboration?
* In what ways did collaboration break down?
* What were the issues that prevented the group from reaching consensus?
* What can we learn from this?
* What went well?
* **PGE Integrated Vegetation Management Program Environmental Assessment (Rick Hopson).**

Rick reported that Karen Quidachay, a PGE contractor, is working on the NEPA for a vegetative management proposal for transmission lines from Salt Springs Reservoir to the west. Rick stated that herbicides will be one of the tools used to spot treat re-sprout trees and brush. Karen made a presentation to the full ACCG in fall 2016 followed by project scoping in late 2016 and early 2017. Rick explained that the ACCG provided a comment letter in 2017 as did the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 2018 so the ACCG has standing during the objection process. The project’s 30-day Environmental Assessment comment period ends May 17, 2019. The Planning WG estimated it would have taken two months to review the project background materials, discuss comments within the Planning WG, and bring a consensus recommendation before a general meeting for action. Given the lack of time, the Planning WG recommended that the full ACCG not submit a letter but encouraged ACCG members to submit comment letters independently, if desired.

The Three Meadows, Large Landscape Analysis, and Power Fire PCT projects will be on the next Planning WG meeting agenda.

* **Strategic Landscape Assessment Work Group (SLAWG) (Michael Pickard).**

The SLAWG held its initial meeting last week which was well attended. The group plans to meet again by phone on June 4 from 1pm to 3pm. Michael will send a calendar invitation to Regine for distribution to the entire ACCG. At the initial meeting, the SLAWG discussed how to create a useful tool that would support creation of a pipeline of projects. In the short term, the SLAWG aims to create a project map. The group has begun discussions about how to collect, display, and distribute the data. The SLAWG would like feedback on the type of data to display and how to make the tool as useful as possible. The SLAWG will assess the existing data before putting out a call to the full membership for additional information. The goal is to develop a usable tool by July 2019.

**Discussion**

* UMRWA and the FS are working together to draft a list of NEPA-ready projects and asked where does this effort fit in with the SLAWG’s efforts. Michael suggested that Rich and Rick attend the next meeting and asked for Rich to send him the list of NEPA ready projects.
* Becky Estes is using the USFS Forest Activity Tracking System (FATS) database to determine completed FS projects. Megan Layhee added that this is the first step. The SLAWG will work to identify projects that are NEPA ready. The SLAWG designated Megan as the SLAWG liaison to the Planning WG.
* Monte Kawahara said the BLM fire and fuels projects that are ready to be integrated into the database.
* Steve Wilensky asked if the mapping area is limited to the ACCG planning area or if it included additional areas where ACCG members work. He cited the example of CHIPS whose field operations include the Tahoe Basin and Yosemite National Park. Michael replied that the group is currently focused on ACCG area. Rick Hopson added that they intend to include Alpine County because it is within the ACCG focus area.
* The SLAWG will continue to bring the mapping tool effort to the full membership and Planning Work Group for review and input.

**Monitoring Work Group Update**

* **Meadows prioritization process workshop (Gwen Starrett).**

The meadows prioritization workshop was conducted on May 9th exploring meadows prioritization for climate resiliency. The workshop was intended for meadow restoration specialists to learn how to use the tool. Shana Gross is now using the information from the group to refine the decision framework. There is information from a subset of meadows that restoration specialists could utilize in a risk analysis to help determine which areas to restore. Within the ACCG framework, members could then work together to pair funding opportunities with meadows that were prioritized using the assessment tool.

## Roundtable

**Gwen Starrett:** Gwen reported that she now has the designs for the Three Meadows Project and plans to present them to the Planning WG at their next meeting. Gwen went on to state that she resides outside of ACCG focus area and is excited about the [Healthy Soils Demonstration Project](https://ucanr.edu/blogs/blogcore/postdetail.cfm?postnum=29222) on rangelands by University of California Cooperative Extension which focused on applying a thin layer of compost to rangelands to evaluate carbon sequestration, forage quality, and water retention. Gwen stated that the nexus with ACCG is that this is a pilot project but, if the project is expanded, there may be a larger market for compost may be extended. Gwen shared that she has been doing a lot of work on oak savannah and is interested in native prairie communities.

**Susan McMorris:** Susan shared that a playground has been added to baseball park in Railroad Flat and that three new businesses have opened (West Foothill Gutters, a cabinet maker located at Sandy Gulch Center, and a landscape development business for individual people and community gardens).
**Steve Brink:** The disaster relief bill passed out of the House last Friday which has important implications for areas affected by wildfire as it provides federal funding to aid communities hit by natural disasters.
**David Griffith:** Senate Bill 45 is a $45MM bond which if it passes, provides funding for fuels reduction, forest health, and fire safe councils. The bill does not include funding for 501(c)3 organizations. AB 343 includes biomass transportation subsidies. David shared that he believes the bill has a 50% chance of passing because there remain some issues with the bill’s details. Rural Counties Representatives of California (RCRC) has been focused on landscape scale forest health and announced their intent to manufacture wood pellets on a statewide scale to sell to Japan and South Korea. RCRC has held meetings with the USFS Chief Forester, Pacific Southwest Regional Forester Randy Moore. RCRC has held preliminary discussions with a power generator in South Korea who is trying to discontinue the use of coal and with Japanese utilities trying to discontinue the use of nuclear energy. RCRC has formed a 501(c)3 known as Golden State Natural Resources. The ABC Request for Proposals for a scoping study is currently being advertised and is due May 24. Alpine County secured a CalFire grant award of $224K which will evaluate alternatives to protect communities from wildland fire.

**Monte Kawahara:** The South Fork Mokelumne Watershed Restoration project continues to move forward. The BLM’s biggest challenge is to conduct the bird surveys ahead of the mastication contractors. BLM has fewer rules and can be more nimble than USFS which has allowed for one of the contractors (Tanner Brothers) to sell material to Ampine, CHIPS, others. A second contractor (Krisman) is beginning mastication on the Sandy Gulch Unit toward the river, where slope permits. Where the slope is too steep for mastication, the CHIPS field crews will thin by hand. There is also a BLM hand crew clearing the area behind Sandy Gulch so additional acres are being treated. Right now, contractors are working against time because of fire restrictions (current deadline of June 1 which may be extended to mid-June depending upon the current series of storms).

**Rich Farrington:** The UMRWA Board decided to formally accept $1MM grant from SNC for the Black Springs Fuels Reduction workshop. In an effort to generate local jobs, UMRWA is now preparing to hold another workshop for potential contractors to bid on the project. Rich shared that staff is working to develop a list of NEPA-ready projects that includes the Amador and Calaveras Ranger Districts. Rich stated that the State Water Board has issued an emergency notice not to drink, cook, bathe, drink, or brush teeth with water from the Camp Fire area and that a Sacramento Bee newspaper article discusses water poisoning in the Camp Fire area. Rich said that he does not know if SNC’s Mokelumne Watershed Avoided Cost Analysis includes costs for water and that is should if it does not.

**Gerald Schwartz:** Gerald shared that Michelle Workman is replacing Kent Lambert as the new Watershed Recreation Manager.

**Ray Cablayan:** The Calaveras Ranger District has scheduled a prescribed burn for the Irish Omega project area but recent rain have altered the schedule. The Forest is burning quite a bit including a section near HWY 108 and Groveland. The Forest conducted pile burning last week. There was a biochar presentation held on May 8 by the Rocky Mountain Research Station; there remains tons of free biochar available. Ray will forward the information about the biochar to Regine to distribute to ACCG members. The Large Landscape Analysis Project now has an official name: Moving Toward Resiliency Within the Mokelumne River to Kings River Landscape (MOTOR M2K). The Calaveras Ranger District issued the public notice in the Union Democrat for the Black Springs Campground project EA.

**Steve Wilenksy:** CHIPS now has 46 employees and expects to employee two more by the week’s end. CHIPS field operations in Yosemite National Park (YNP) will begin May 20 and include the repair of three miles of fencing damaged by downed, dead trees. CHIPS is currently searching for a 100 cubic yard chip van with a live floor and is interested in procuring logs. CHIPS has purchased an excavator with grapple to facilitate log unloading at its site in Wilseyville. Last year, the CHIPS YNP field crew camped next to a group of Sierra Club volunteers. In a Freedom of Information Act request, CHIPS has obtained police camera video wherein the Sierra Club volunteers assumed a CHIPS employee, who is Native American, was a vagrant camping. CHIPS has reached out to YNP and its law enforcement division as well as to the Sierra Club to request apologies, an expunging of the record, and establishment of clear policies and procedures to avoid repeating the situation in the future.

**Ben Solvesky:** The Caples Restoration Project includes 8,000 acres of prescription burn across roadless areas and may begin in fall 2019. SFL is organizing five raking days to remove duff from around large trees. Ben will send a flyer containing the details to Regine to distribute to the ACCG.

# **John Heissenbuttel:** The Amador FSC began work on the Mitchell Mine Fuel Break which is five miles long and crosses through State Park, BLM, and private lands. The Pine Grove Camp Crew is implementing the portion of the project on BLM land. John stated that last month’s lack of success with Cal Fire grant awards was due to the State directing funds for its priority projects.

**Rick Hopson:** The Amador Ranger District conducted underburns yesterday and today, pile burning is continuing, and contracts have been awarded for mastication of the biomass piles on HWY 88. The District is now planting trees, conducting an herbicide release, and conducting low intensity burning in the Power Fire area. Rick stated he is continuing to work on the Power Fire data request and that he was interviewed for the ACCG’s socio-economic monitoring which appears to be moving along.

# Meeting Participants

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Affiliation** |
| Tony Valdes | Foothill Conservancy |
| Gerald Schwartz | East Bay Municipal Utilities District |
| John Heissenbuttel | Cal Am, Amador FSC |
| Michael Pickard | Sierra Nevada Conservancy |
| Megan Layhee | Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center  |
| Liz Gregg | Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center  |
| Kendal Young | Calaveras and Amador Ranger Districts |
| David Griffith | Alpine Biomass Collaborative |
| Rick Hopson | Amador Ranger District |
| Sue Holper | ACCG Member |
| Steve Wilenksy | Calaveras Healthy Impact Product Solutions |
| Tania Carlone | Consensus Building Institute |
| Monte Kawahara | Bureau of Land Management |
| Linda Diesem | Calaveras landowner |
| Ray Cablayan | Calaveras Ranger District (Stanislaus NF) |
| Ben Slovesky | Sierra Forest Legacy |
| Steve Brink | California Forestry Association |
| Michael Jow | Stanislaus National Forest, CO-ID team lead for Large Landscape Analysis project  |
| Rich Farrington | UMRWA |
| Susan McMorris | Blue Mountain Community Renewal Council |