

ACCG | Admin Meeting | February 10, 2020

Participants

Joe Aragon, Robin Wall, Michael Pickard, Steve Wilensky, Regine Miller, Tania Carlone, Stephanie Horii

Action Items

Actions	Responsible Parties
Admin Meetings. Reissue monthly calendar invites with new Zoom info	Steph H
General Meeting Communications. Reiterate new meeting location and provide detailed instructions to the meeting room (go to the lower parking lot to access the first floor, east side entrance).	Regine M
General Meeting Agenda. Update the agenda per the meeting discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Check dates of meeting documents• Elaborate that Nick Wobbrock's presentation will focus on: (1) a financial model at a landscape scale that promotes private investments on public lands, and (2) the potential to collaborate on National Forest lands.• Remove MOA revision from presentations and discussion section (will be part of Updates).• Remove Amador and Calaveras District Updates from Planning WG Update (will be part of the Roundtable Updates)• Adjust presentation/discussion/update times accordingly.	Regine M
Speakers. Notify Nick Wobbrock of particular questions/topics of ACCG interest (in addition to the main topics already identified): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Other financial opportunities like the Forest Resilience Bond• Engagement insights on key partners for collaboration (e.g., utilities)• Status of the North Yuba Project implementation and how the group has/plans to address implementation challenges.	Regine M
Meeting Outreach. Invite key persons (e.g., county supervisors) who may have an interest in Nick Wobbrock's Feb 19 presentation on landscape-level private-public investment financial model.	All / Steve W
Monitoring WG. At next WG meeting, discuss what input the Monitoring WG may want from the full ACCG as the WG plans the June Science and Monitoring Symposium. Potentially elicit input at February meeting if sufficient content is ready.	Robin W

Actions	Responsible Parties
SLAWG. Send Tania the mapping tool development schedule as outlined in the SNC RFFCP proposal to coordinate SLAWG activities with the Planning WG agendas.	Michael P
Sierra Institute Proposal for Strategic Growth Council grant. Update the template letter from the Sierra Institute and send with one-pager proposal description to full ACCG, explaining due date is Wednesday which does not allow for the full ACCG to discuss for potential consensus support; however, individual members can submit separate letters on behalf of their organizations, and the ACCG will discuss the SI proposal at its next General Meeting. cc: SI contact staff. [DONE]	Tania C
MOA Revision. Update the MOA per discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove mention of “management information system.” Ensure the statement’s intent conveys the Admin WG facilitates communication within ACCG and with external parties and helps document/track these activities. • For all work groups, reduce formal tone related to work group appointments and reappointments. Indicate intent that work groups will offer the opportunity and encourage inclusivity to engage new faces/ideas/next generation via discussing work group representation at least once a year. • The Admin WG representation should have balanced representation reflecting the balanced triple bottom line values and also have at least one representative/liaison from each work group. • Footnote for future discussion: Consider modifying the Admin WG’s role (and potentially associated processes and representation) to have more decision-making authority for non-controversial, ministerial items (e.g., external partner requests under tight deadlines for letters of support for non-controversial proposals). This new role aims to streamline ACCG project support processes under the appropriate conditions and allow more time during General Meetings for more complex, substantive project planning and implementation discussions. • Capture the urgent need for better funding coordination (i.e., we’re at a bidding disadvantage compared to more coordinated groups, creating lost opportunities for grant funds when too many applicants in the region apply for the same funds). 	Steph H
MOA Revision – Funding Coordination WG. Send CBI edits on the Funding Coordination WG’s charge and responsibilities. By Friday Feb 14	All

Other Next Steps / Notes

- Priority: Finalize Project Development and Approval Process (aiming for February)

- Priority: MOA Revision (currently underway with individual WGs, aim to present draft to full ACCG in March).
- 2nd Priority: CBI will present draft outreach, communication, and engagement plan to Admin WG (aiming for April).