ACCG 2022 Priorities

Finalized and approved: 3/16/2022

Note: Priorities were initially proposed by participants at the November 17th, 2021 general meeting.

Project planning, development & implementation

- Continue moving forward with landscape-scale planning, while also identifying and securing funding for shovel-ready projects, through:
 - o Continuing to support and engage with UMRWA's Forest Projects Plan Phase 1-2.
 - o Engaging with the USFS on their 2022 program of work.
 - Continuing to support large-landscape analyses to help strategically accelerate implementation.
 - Support and assist the USFS find efficient and effective ways to compete for funds. And as part of that, support the USFS obtaining increased resources and building workforces to support surface and ladder fuels implementation.
- Support biomass utilization infrastructure development projects.
- Focus on projects and activities, including activities that increase forest health, and meadow and wetland restoration, that promote water retention on the landscape to prepare for future droughts.

Proposed responsible party(s) for *Project planning, development & implementation* items: ACCG Planning Work Group

Partnerships

- Build relationships and increase communications with other collaboratives, including SOFAR and YSS, and if the opportunity presents itself, work on something collaboratively (e.g., Caldor Fire-related restoration to the north with SOFAR, Forest Projects Plan to the south with YSS).
- Importance of streamlining agreements and processes, like Master Stewardship
 Agreements and Good Neighbor Authority, so that when funding becomes available
 maximum work can be accomplished in a minimum amount of time.

Proposed responsible party(s) for *Partnerships* items: ACCG Admin Work Group

ACCG's triple bottom line - Local economies

 Prioritize putting more attention on the ACCG's third portion of their triple bottom line approach – local economies. Focus on finding ways to be more effective with this "leg of the stool" of the triple bottom line approach, how to increase opportunities for local workforces in the forestry industry, and how to engage more with local contractors.

Proposed responsible party(s):

- o ACCG revised MOA signatories, including UMRWA, Cal Am Team, USFS and CHIPS, meet to reflect on the topic and bring that back to the full ACCG.
- Someone from the above group outreach to Fire Safe Councils (FSCs) and Resource
 Conservation Districts (RCDs) to get those entities to engage with ACCG about this topic.
- ACCG Monitoring Work Group prioritize discussion on assessing socio-economic component of projects.

Pyrosilviculture Share Vision

• Continue to support implementation of ACCG's Pyrosilviculture Shared Vision.

Proposed responsible party(s): ACCG Planning Work Group and ACCG Pyrosilviculture Ad Hoc group

Communication & Engagement

- ACCG representative attend and communicate the Forest Management Task Force, or other federal and state entities, in order to more effectively engage with federal and state entities.
- Review and begin implementing key components of the ACCG Communication & Engagement Plan

Proposed responsible party(s): ACCG Admin Work Group

General meeting/Field tour topics

- [GM Topic/Field Workshop] TEK
- [Field Tour] Monitoring Field Symposium
- [GM Topic] ACCG 10-yr CFLR Program Review: synopsis and highlights
- [GM Topic] Forest Resilience Strategy
- [GM Topic] Caldor Fire updates & next steps
- [GM Topic] Stanislaus SERAL project update
- [GM Topic] Prescribed Burn Associations (UCCE)
- [Field Tour] EBMUD land and fire management approaches
- [GM Topic] TCSI's 10 Pillars of Resilience
- [GM Panel topic] UMRWA, Cal Am Team, CHIPS and USFS panel discussion on supporting local economies with forest health contracts